Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Discrete Applied Mathematics**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dam

# The minimum span of L(2, 1)-labelings of generalized flowers

Nathaniel Karst<sup>a</sup>, Jessica Oehrlein<sup>b</sup>, Denise Sakai Troxell<sup>a,\*</sup>, Junjie Zhu<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Mathematics and Sciences Division, Babson College, Babson Park, MA 02457, USA

<sup>b</sup> Franklin W. Olin College of Engineering, Olin Way, Needham, MA 02492, USA

<sup>c</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 May 2014 Received in revised form 1 October 2014 Accepted 9 October 2014 Available online 4 November 2014

Keywords: L(d, 1)-labeling Circular L(d, 1)-labeling Amalgamation Cartesian product

#### ABSTRACT

Given a positive integer *d*, an L(d, 1)-labeling of a graph *G* is an assignment of nonnegative integers to its vertices such that adjacent vertices must receive integers at least *d* apart, and vertices at distance two must receive integers at least one apart. The  $\lambda_d$ -number of *G* is the minimum *k* so that *G* has an L(d, 1)-labeling using labels in {0, 1, ..., *k*}. Informally, an *amalgamation* of two disjoint graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  along a fixed graph  $G_0$  is the simple graph obtained by identifying the vertices of two induced subgraphs isomorphic to  $G_0$ , one in  $G_1$  and the other in  $G_2$ . A flower is an amalgamation of two or more cycles along a single vertex. We provide the exact  $\lambda_2$ -number of a generalized flower which is the Cartesian product of  $P_n$  and a flower, or equivalently, an amalgamation of cylindrical rectangular grids along a certain  $P_n$ . In the process, we provide general upper bounds for the  $\lambda_d$ -number of the labels {0, 1, ..., *k*} are arranged sequentially in a circle and the distance between two labels is the shortest distance on the circle.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

An L(2, 1)-labeling of a graph G is a function  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1, ..., k\}$  such that if vertices u and v are adjacent, then  $|f(u) - f(v)| \ge 2$ , and if u and v are at distance two, then  $|f(u) - f(v)| \ge 1$ . An L(2, 1)-labeling that uses labels in the set  $\{0, 1, ..., k\}$  will be called a k-labeling of G. The minimum k so that G has a k-labeling will be called the  $\lambda$ -number of G and denoted by  $\lambda(G)$ . The study of L(2, 1)-labelings and their variations is motivated by the channel assignment problem [11] and has generated a vast literature since L(2, 1)-labelings were first introduced in 1992 [10]. We refer the reader to the surveys in [2,28] for an overview and to [3–5,15,16,20,21,23,25,29] for some of the more recent developments in the field.

The long-standing conjecture in the field states that  $\lambda(G) \leq \Delta^2(G)$ , where  $\Delta(G)$  denotes the maximum degree of G [10]. This conjecture holds for graphs with  $\Delta(G)$  larger than approximately  $10^{69}$  [12] and for graphs with at most  $(\lfloor \Delta(G)/2 \rfloor + 1)(\Delta^2(G) - \Delta(G) + 1) - 1$  vertices [5]. The best known general upper bound is  $\lambda(G) \leq \Delta^2(G) + \Delta(G) - 2$  [9]. Even though the problem of determining  $\lambda(G)$  is NP-hard [8], several bounds and exact  $\lambda$ -numbers for different families of graphs are known. One of these families is the class of amalgamations of graphs studied in [1].

Let  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_p$  be  $p \ge 2$  pairwise disjoint graphs each containing a fixed induced subgraph isomorphic to a graph  $G_0$ . The *amalgamation* of  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_p$  along  $G_0$  is the simple graph  $G = Amalg(G_0; G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_p)$  obtained by identifying  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_p$  at the vertices in the fixed subgraphs isomorphic to  $G_0$  in each  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_p$ , respectively. In [1], general

E-mail addresses: nkarst@babson.edu (N. Karst), jessica.oehrlein@students.olin.edu (J. Oehrlein), troxell@babson.edu (D.S. Troxell), jjzhu@stanford.edu (J. Zhu).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2014.10.010 0166-218X/© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.







<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Fax: +1 781 239 6416.



**Fig. 1.1.** Examples<sup>1</sup> of *Amalg*( $G_0$ ;  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ , ...,  $G_p$ ) where the white vertices are in  $G_0$ .

upper bounds for the  $\lambda$ -number of the amalgamation of graphs were established by determining the exact  $\lambda$ -number of the amalgamation of complete graphs along a complete graph (see Fig. 1.1(a)). They also provided the exact  $\lambda$ -numbers of the amalgamation of *rectangular grids* (i.e., of Cartesian products of two paths) along a certain path, or more specifically, of the Cartesian product of a path and a star with spokes of arbitrary lengths (see Fig. 1.1(b)).

Recall that the *Cartesian product* of two disjoint graphs  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , denoted by  $H_1 \Box H_2$ , is defined as the graph with vertex set given by the Cartesian product of the vertex set of  $H_1$  and the vertex set of  $H_2$ , where two vertices (u, v) and (w, z) are adjacent if and only if either (u, w) are adjacent in  $H_1$  and v = z) or (v, z) are adjacent in  $H_2$  and u = w). The  $\lambda$ -numbers of the Cartesian products of graphs as simple as paths  $P_n$ , cycles  $C_n$ , and complete graphs  $K_n$  (where n is the number of vertices in the respective graphs), have been extensively investigated in the literature, often generating challenging problems, some of them still open to date. For a sample of related works, we refer the reader to [7,14,17,18,24,26].

Expanding upon other amalgamations of Cartesian products of graphs, [15] provided a tight upper bound for the  $\lambda$ -number of amalgamations of Cartesian products of two complete graphs along a complete graph (see Fig. 1.1(c)) and the exact  $\lambda$ -numbers for certain infinite subclasses of amalgamations of this form. The complete determination of this  $\lambda$ -number may be out of reach as indicated in [15] due to a surprising relationship between this problem and the NP-hard minimum makespan scheduling problem [6].

In this work, we will focus on the amalgamation *G* of *cylindrical rectangular grids* (i.e., of Cartesian products of a path and a cycle) along a fixed path, or more formally, for given integers  $n \ge 1$ ,  $p \ge 2$ , and  $3 \le m_1 \le m_2 \le \cdots \le m_p$ ,  $G = Amalg(G_0; G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_p)$  with  $G_0 = P_n$  and  $G_\ell = P_n \Box C_{m_\ell}$  for  $\ell = 1, 2, \ldots, p$ . We will call *G* a generalized flower and denote it by  $F_n(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_p)$  (see  $F_3(3, 3, 4)$  in Fig. 1.1(d)). When n = 1, a generalized flower is the amalgamation of cycles along a single vertex which we will simply call a *flower* for the obvious resemblance to its counterpart in nature. We extend this metaphor to all the generalized flowers and refer to the graph  $G_0 = P_n$  as the *stem*, and the graph  $G_\ell = P_n \Box C_{m_\ell}$  as *petal*  $\ell$ , for  $\ell = 1, 2, \ldots, p$ . Our ultimate goal will be to show the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $n \ge 1$ ,  $p \ge 2$ ,  $3 \le m_1 \le m_2 \le \cdots \le m_p$  be integers and let  $G = F_n(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_p)$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \lambda(G) &= 2p + 1, & \text{if } n = 1; \\ &= 2p + 2, & \text{if } (n = 2 \text{ and } p > 2) \text{ or } \\ &\quad (n = 2, p = 2, (m_1, m_2) \not\in \{(4, 4), (4, 8)\}, \text{ and } \{m_1, m_2\} \cap \{3, 6\} = \varnothing); \\ &= 2p + 4, & \text{if } (n = 3, p = 2, \text{ and } (m_1, m_2) \in \{(3, 3), (3, 5)\}) \text{ or } \\ &\quad (n = 4, p = 2, \text{ and } (m_1, m_2) \in \{(3, 3), (3, 5), (3, 6), (4, 4), (4, 5)\}); \\ &= 2p + 4, & \text{if } n \ge 5; \\ &= 2p + 3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{split}$$

The following two well-known generalizations of L(2, 1)-labelings will be used in Section 2. Let d and k be integers such that  $d \ge 1$  and  $k \ge 0$ . An L(d, 1)-labeling of a graph G is a function  $f: V(G) \to \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$  such that if vertices u and v are adjacent, then  $|f(u) - f(v)| \ge d$ , and if u and v are at distance two, then  $|f(u) - f(v)| \ge 1$ . An L(d, 1)-labeling that uses labels in the set  $\{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$  will be called a (k, d)-labeling of G. The minimum k so that G has a (k, d)-labeling will be called the  $\lambda_d$ -number of G and denoted by  $\lambda_d(G)$ . A k-circular L(d, 1)-labeling of a graph G is a function  $f: V(G) \to \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$  such that if vertices u and v are adjacent, then  $||f(u) - f(v)||_k \ge d$ , and if u and v are at distance two, then  $||f(u) - f(v)||_k \ge 1$ , where  $||x||_k = \min\{|x|, (k + 1) - |x|\}$ . (Note: The traditional definition of a k-circular L(d, 1)-labeling of G in the literature maps V(G) into  $\{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$ .) For more on this labeling variation, we refer the interested reader to [13, 19, 22, 27]. It is helpful to label the vertices of  $C_{k+1}$  clockwise around the cycle with  $0, 1, \ldots, k$ , and interpret  $||x - y||_k$ , called the k-circular distance

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fig. 1.1(a) and (b) are from [1], and (c) is from [15].



**Fig. 2.1.** (11, 2)-labeling of  $P_4 \square K_4$  constructed in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

between the labels x and y, as the shortest distance between x and y on the cycle. Note that a k-circular L(d, 1)-labeling is also a (k, d')-labeling for any positive integer  $d' \le d$  but the converse is not true in general.

In Section 2, we use k-circular L(d+1, 1)-labelings to provide upper bounds for the  $\lambda_d$ -number of the Cartesian products of a path and any graph. This result will be helpful in obtaining the general upper bound 2p + 4 for the  $\lambda$ -number of generalized flowers; this bound is exact when  $n \geq 5$ . We would like to highlight that the strength of this result lies in the fact that it provides a detailed framework to confirm the general upper bounds for the  $\lambda$ -number of the Cartesian products of two paths [26], of a path and a cycle [14], and of a path and a star with spokes of arbitrary lengths [19]. These upper bounds were originally found using methods that either did not use circular labelings or did not acknowledge their role in obtaining the desired  $\lambda$ -labelings.

When determining the exact  $\lambda$ -numbers of families of graphs, the instances with smaller number of vertices are often discussed separately as the proximity among vertices may require a more involved selection of labels in L(2, 1)-labelings achieving the  $\lambda$ -numbers. This selection of labels may also be very sensitive to the structure of each particular instance, adding to the complexity of the determination problem. Sections 3 through 5 discuss the smaller instances of generalized flowers, namely when  $n \leq 4$ . The  $\lambda$ -number of flowers, i.e., of generalized flowers with n = 1, is given in Section 3. The case n = 2 is treated in Section 4, and the cases n = 3, 4 are treated in Section 5.

#### **2**. General upper bound for the $\lambda$ -number of generalized flowers and an exact value when $n \ge 5$

In Theorem 2.4, we exhibit a general upper bound for the  $\lambda$ -number of  $F_n(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_p)$  and use it in Corollary 2.6 to determine the exact  $\lambda$ -number when  $n \geq 5$ . We first need to prove Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 that use circular L(d + 1, 1)-labelings to derive an upper bound for the  $\lambda_d$ -number of the Cartesian product of a path and any graph.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let d and k be integers such that  $d \ge 1$  and  $k \ge 2d$ . If a graph G has a k-circular L(d + 1, 1)-labeling, then  $P_n \square G$  has a (k, d)-labeling.

**Proof.** Let  $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}$  be the vertices in  $P_n$  so that  $u_i$  and  $u_{i+1}$  are adjacent for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-2$ , and let  $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}$  be the vertices in G. Let g be a k-circular L(d + 1, 1)-labeling of G. We will construct a (k, d)-labeling f of  $P_n \square G$  as an n-by-m matrix A where the entry  $A_{i,j}$  on the ith row, jth column will be the label  $f(u_i, v_j)$  of vertex  $(u_i, v_j)$  for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$  and  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ . For each  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ , set  $A_{0,j} = g(v_j)$  and  $A_{i,j} = (A_{i-1,j} + d) \mod (k+1)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ . Fig. 2.1 illustrates this construction when d = 2, k = 11, n = 4, and  $G = K_4$ , the complete graph on 4 vertices.

We make the following two claims:

- (i) Each row of *A* induces a *k*-circular L(d+1, 1)-labeling of *G* if the *j*th label in the row is assigned to  $v_j$  for j = 0, 1, ..., m-1. This holds since the 0th row of *A* is *g* and each of the remaining rows is a circular shift of the 0th row by a multiple of *d* (note that the *k*-circular distance between the labels assigned to an arbitrary pair of vertices in *G* remains constant through these circular shifts). Consequently, each row of *A* induces a (*k*, *d*)-labeling of *G*.
- (ii) Each column of A induces a k-circular L(d, 1)-labeling of  $P_n$  if the *i*th label in the column is assigned to  $u_i$  for i = 0, 1, ..., n 1. To verify this statement, recall that  $k \ge 2d$  and each entry in a column is obtained from the previous one in the same column by adding d modulo k + 1. Hence, the k-circular distance between the labels assigned to two adjacent vertices in  $P_n$  is exactly d (since d < (k + 1) d). In addition, the labels assigned to two vertices at distance two in  $P_n$  must be different (since one of these labels is obtained from the other by adding 2d modulo k + 1). Thus, the k-circular distance between these labels is at least 1. As in item (i), we can finally conclude that each column of A induces a (k, d)-labeling of  $P_n$ .

In view of these two claims, to conclude that *f* is a (*k*, *d*)-labeling of  $P_n \square G$ , it is sufficient to show that if there exist two adjacent vertices *u* and *u'* in  $P_n$  and two adjacent vertices *v* and *v'* in *G*, i.e., (*u'*, *v*) and (*u*, *v'*) are both adjacent to (*u*, *v*) in  $P_n \square G$ , then  $|f(u', v) - f(u, v')| \ge 1$ . To simplify the notation, we call a = f(u', v), b = f(u, v'), and c = f(u, v). By our construction, we have  $||b - c||_k \ge d + 1$  since *v* and *v'* are adjacent in *G*, and  $||a - c||_k = d$  since *u* and *u'* are adjacent in  $P_n$ , and would like to show that  $a \ne b$ . If a = b, then  $||b - c||_k \ge d + 1$  implies  $||a - c||_k \ge d + 1$  which contradicts  $||a - c||_k = d$ . Therefore  $a \ne b$  as desired and we conclude that *f* is a (*k*, *d*)-labeling of  $P_n \square G$ .  $\square$ 

It is important to note that the *k*-circular requirement for the L(d + 1, 1)-labeling *g* of *G* in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is essential in guaranteeing that the final labeling is indeed a (k, d)-labeling of  $P_n \square G$ . For example, if this requirement is dropped when k = 8 and d = 2, then two adjacent vertices in *G* could be labeled 0 and 7, respectively. Adding d = 2 modulo k + 1 = 9 to each label, we obtain 2 and 0, respectively. This is not possible in an L(2, 1)-labeling, as there are two vertices at distance two with the same label, namely 0. More generally, by dropping the *k*-circular requirement for the L(d + 1, 1)-labeling, the pairs (i, k - j) for i = 0, 1, ..., d - 1 and j = 0, 1, ..., d - i - 1 would be possible pairs of labels for two adjacent vertices in *G*, respectively, since  $k \ge 2d$  implies  $(k - j) - i \ge d + 1$ . Adding *d* modulo k + 1 to each label in these pairs we would obtain the pairs (d + i, d - j - 1) for i = 0, 1, ..., d - 1 and j = 0, 1, ..., d - i - 1 assigned to adjacent vertices in  $P_n \square G$ . This is not possible in a (k, d)-labeling because if j < d - i - 1 then we will have two adjacent vertices with labels differing by  $(d + i) - (d - j - 1) \le d - 1$ , and if j = d - i - 1 we will have two vertices at distance two with the same label *i*.

Theorem 2.1 confirms several of the known general upper bounds for the  $\lambda$ -number of  $P_n \square G$  for different families of graphs *G*. For example, it was shown in [19] that  $P_m$  has a 6-circular L(3, 1)-labeling, so Theorem 2.1 implies that there exists a 6-labeling of  $P_n \square P_m$ , confirming the upper bound  $\lambda(P_n \square P_m) \leq 6$  found in [26]. Similarly, it was shown in [22] that  $C_m$  has a 7-circular L(3, 1)-labeling and therefore there exists a 7-labeling of  $P_n \square C_m$  confirming that  $\lambda(P_n \square C_m) \leq 7$  as shown in [14]. Also from [19], there exists a (q+4)-circular L(3, 1)-labeling of a tree *T* with one vertex with maximum degree q > 2 and all the other vertices with degree at most 2, and therefore there exists a (q + 4)-labeling of  $P_n \square T$  confirming that  $\lambda(P_n \square T) \leq q + 4$  as shown in [1]. We also have the following corollary that provides a general upper bound for the  $\lambda_d$ -number of the Cartesian product of a path and any graph.

#### **Corollary 2.2.** If *d* is a positive integer, then $\lambda_d(P_n \Box G) \leq \lambda_{d+1}(G) + d$ for any graph *G*.

**Proof.** As observed in [13,22], we have that the minimum *k* so that *G* has a *k*-circular L(d + 1, 1)-labeling is at most  $\lambda_{d+1}(G) + d$ , and therefore the desired inequality follows from Theorem 2.1.  $\Box$ 

Before proceeding to the next results, we need to introduce some notation. Let  $G = F_n(m_1, m_2, ..., m_p)$  be a generalized flower where  $n \ge 1, p \ge 2$ , and  $3 \le m_1 \le m_2 \le \cdots \le m_p$ . For each  $\ell = 1, 2, ..., p$ , the petal  $\ell$  of G is isomorphic to  $P_n \square C_{m_\ell}$  so its vertices can be organized in an array format where each vertex will be represented by an ordered triple  $(i, j, \ell)$  with i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1 and  $j = 0, 1, ..., m_\ell - 1$ , where the 0th column contains the vertices in the stem  $P_n$ . Two vertices are adjacent if their triple representations satisfy exactly one of the following two conditions:

- (i) Both triples agree on the first and third coordinates, and differ in absolute value by 1 or by  $m_{\ell} 1$  on the second coordinate.
- (ii) Both triples agree on the second and third coordinates, and differ in absolute value by 1 on the first coordinate.

For a fixed i = 0, 1, ..., n-1, the subgraph of *G* induced by the vertices  $(i, j, \ell)$  with  $j = 0, 1, ..., m_{\ell} - 1$  and  $\ell = 1, 2, ..., p$ is isomorphic to  $F_1(m_1, m_2, ..., m_p)$  and will be called the *i*th *layer of G*. If, in addition to fixing *i*, we also fix  $\ell = 1, 2, ..., p$ , the subgraph of the *i*th layer of *G* induced by the vertices  $(i, j, \ell)$  with  $j = 0, 1, ..., m_{\ell} - 1$  is isomorphic to  $C_{m_{\ell}}$  and will be called the *i*th *layer of petal*  $\ell$ . For convenience, the L(2, 1)-labelings of  $F_n(m_1, m_2, ..., m_p)$  will be represented by the *n*-by- $m_{\ell}$  matrices for  $\ell = 1, 2, ..., p$ , where the entry on the *i*th row, *j*th column of the  $\ell$ th matrix will be the label of vertex  $(i, j, \ell)$ ; observe that all the 0th columns of these *p* matrices must be the same as they contain the labels for the stem  $P_n$  of *G*.

In the proof of Theorem 2.4, we will first treat the case  $F_n(3, 3)$  separately, and for each of the remaining generalized flowers  $F_n(m_1, m_2, ..., m_p)$ , we will construct a (2p + 4)-circular L(3, 1)-labeling of the 0th layer and then use Theorem 2.1 to obtain a (2p + 4)-labeling of the entire graph. In such a construction, we will need k-circular L(3, 1)-labelings of single cycles  $C_m$  with  $m \ge 4$  satisfying the special properties stated in the following result.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let k and m be integers such that  $k \ge 8$  and  $m \ge 4$ . For each x = 3, 4, ..., k - 3, there exists a k-circular L(3, 1)-labeling of  $C_m$  which assigns label 0 to an arbitrary vertex and labels x and x + 1 to the vertices adjacent to it.

**Proof.** Let  $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}$  be the vertices in  $C_m$  so that  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  are adjacent for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$  and subscript addition is taken modulo m. In Fig. 2.2 we exhibit the desired k-circular L(3, 1)-labelings of  $C_m$  as row-vectors of labels where the ith entry in each vector contains the label of vertex  $v_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ . Each shaded block of three consecutive labels within a vector is a k-circular L(3, 1)-labeling of  $C_3$  that can be replaced with  $q \ge 1$  copies of itself arranged consecutively as needed to reach the desired value of m. For example, if x = 4 and m = 8, then m = 2 + 3q for q = 2 so the corresponding vector in Fig. 2.2 produces the circular labeling 0, 4, 7, 1, 4, 7, 1, 5 when read off clockwise around  $C_8$  starting at  $v_0$ . We leave the verification that these are indeed k-circular L(3, 1)-labelings of  $C_m$  to the reader but would like to note that since  $k \ge 8$  and  $x = 3, 4, \ldots, k - 3$ , the pairs (0, k), (0, k - 1), (1, k) are not used to label two adjacent vertices.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $n \ge 1, p \ge 2, 3 \le m_1 \le m_2 \le \dots \le m_p$  be integers. If  $G = F_n(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p)$ , then  $\lambda(G) \le 2p + 4$ .

**Proof.** Let s = 0 if  $m_1 > 3$  or let *s* be the largest positive integer such that  $m_s = 3$ .

If s = p = 2, let t be the smallest multiple of 3 so that  $t \ge n$ . Use t/3 copies of matrix A in Fig. 2.3 arranged vertically to label one of the petals of  $F_t(3, 3)$ . Similarly label the other petal of  $F_t(3, 3)$  using matrix B in Fig. 2.3.

<i>q</i> ≥ 1	x =	3						x =	4						x =	5					
C 4	0	3	7	4				0	4	8	5				0	5	2	6			
$C_{2+3q}$	0	3	6	1	4			0	4	7	1	5			0	5	8	2	6		
$C_{3+3q}$	0	3	6	1	7	4		0	4	1	7	2	5		0	5	2	8	3	6	
$C_{4+3q}$	0	3	6	2	5	8	4	0	4	1	7	2	8	5	0	5	2	8	5	2	6

<i>q</i> ≥ 1	6≤.	$x \leq b$	k – 3			
$C_{1+3q}$	0	x	3	x + 1		
$C_{2+3q}$	0	x	1	4	x + 1	
$C_{3+3q}$	0	х	3	0	4	x + 1

**Fig. 2.2.** *k*-circular L(3, 1)-labeling of  $C_m$  with  $m \ge 4$  (shaded blocks are repeated  $q \ge 1$  times).

A =	0	2	4	B =	0	5	7
	3	5	7		3	8	1
	6	8	1		6	2	4

**Fig. 2.3.** Matrices *A* and *B* used construct an 8-labeling of  $F_t(3, 3)$ .



pairings for petals s + 1, s + 2, ..., p

**Fig. 2.4.** Pairs of labels used for the vertices adjacent to the vertex in the stem on the 0th layer of  $G = F_n(m_1, m_2, ..., m_p)$  when p = 5 and s = 2 in Theorem 2.4.

petal 1	0	3	12	petal 2	0	4	11	petal 3	0	5	2	6	petal 4	0	7	3	8	petal 5	0	9	1	4	10
	2	5	14		2	6	13		2	7	4	8		2	9	5	10		2	11	3	6	12
	4	7	1		4	8	0		4	9	6	10		4	11	7	12		4	13	5	8	14
	6	9	3		6	10	2		6	11	8	12		6	13	9	14		6	0	7	10	1
	8	11	5		8	12	4		8	13	10	14		8	0	11	1		8	2	9	12	3

**Fig. 2.5.** L(2, 1)-labeling of  $G = F_5(3, 3, 4, 4, 5)$  constructed in Theorem 2.4.

By inspection, this is an 8-labeling of  $F_t(3, 3)$  and therefore  $\lambda(G) \le 8 = 2p + 4$  since G is a subgraph of  $F_t(3, 3)$ .

If s = p > 2, label the 0th layer of petal *i* with labels 0, i + 2, i + p + 2 for i = 1, 2, ..., p where the label 0 is assigned to the vertex in the stem. These are all (2p + 4)-circular L(3, 1)-labelings of  $C_3$  and together induce a (2p + 4)-circular L(3, 1)-labeling of  $F_1(3, 3, ..., 3)$  with *p* petals which is isomorphic to the 0th layer of *G*. By Theorem 2.1, there exists a (2p + 4)-labeling of  $P_n \Box F_1(3, 3, ..., 3)$  with *p* petals, which is isomorphic to *G*. Thus,  $\lambda(G) \le 2p + 4$ .

We can finally consider the case where  $0 \le s < p$ . Similarly to the previous case, it is sufficient to exhibit a (2p + 4)-circular L(3, 1)-labeling of the 0th layer of G to conclude that  $\lambda(G) \le 2p + 4$  by Theorem 2.1. For i = 1, 2, ..., s, label the 0th layer of petal i with labels 0, i + 2, 2p - i + 3 where the label 0 is assigned to the vertex in the stem. These are all (2p + 4)-circular L(3, 1)-labeling of  $C_3$  which together induce a (2p + 4)-circular L(3, 1)-labeling of  $F_1(3, 3, ..., 3)$  with s petals. Extend this labeling to the remaining vertices in the 0th layer of G by labeling the 0th layer of petal s + j for each j = 1, 2, ..., p - s with a (2p + 4)-circular L(3, 1)-labeling of  $C_{m_{s+j}}$  which assigns label 0 to the vertex in the stem and labels s + 2j + 1 and s + 2j + 2 to the vertices adjacent to it. Lemma 2.3 guarantees the existence of such labelings by selecting k = 2p + 4 (note that  $3 \le s + 2j + 1 \le s + 2(p - s) + 1 \le 2p + 1 = k - 3$ ). The resulting labeling is a (2p + 4)-circular L(3, 1)-labeling of the 0th layer of G. We leave the verification of this claim to the reader but the example in Fig. 2.4 might be helpful in understanding the choice of labels for the vertices adjacent to the vertex in the stem; if n = 5, the corresponding final L(2, 1)-labeling is shown in Fig. 2.5.

In Corollary 2.6 we show that the upper bound in Theorem 2.4 is tight when  $n \ge 5$  using the following well-known result.

**Result 2.5** ([10]). If a graph *G* contains three vertices with maximum degree  $\Delta(G) \ge 2$  and one of them is adjacent to the other two vertices, then  $\lambda(G) \ge \Delta(G) + 2$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $n \ge 5$ ,  $p \ge 2$ ,  $3 \le m_1 \le m_2 \le \cdots \le m_p$  be integers. If  $G = F_n(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p)$ , then  $\lambda(G) = 2p + 4$ .

**Proof.** This follows immediately from Theorem 2.4 and Result 2.5 since there are three vertices in the stem with degrees  $\Delta(G) = 2p + 2$  and one of them is adjacent to the other two vertices.  $\Box$ 

$q \ge 1$	k =	1					k =	2					$k \ge$	3				
$C_{1+3q}$	0	2	5	3			0	4	2	5			0	2 <i>k</i>	2	2k + 1		
$C_{2+3q}$	0	2	4	1	3		0	4	1	3	5		0	2 <i>k</i>	2	4	2k + 1	
C 3+3q	0	2	4	1	5	3	0	4	2	0	3	5	0	2 <i>k</i>	2	0	4	2k + 1

**Fig. 3.1.** L(2, 1)-labelings of  $C_m$  with  $m \ge 4$  in Lemma 3.1 (shaded blocks are repeated  $q \ge 1$  times).

≥1	<i>s</i> ≥	2 an	d <i>b</i>	= 1				$q \ge 1$	<i>s</i> =	1 an	d b	= 1
1+3q	0	2	4	2 <i>p</i> +	1			<i>C</i> <sub>4</sub>	0	3	1	4
2+3q	0	2	4	1	2 <i>p</i> +	1	1	$C_{2+3q}$	0	3	5	1
3+3q	0	2	4	0	2	2p + 1	1	$C_{3+3q}$	0	3	5	0
							•	$C_{4+3a}$	0	3	1	5

**Fig. 3.2.** (2p + 1)-labelings of  $C_m$  with  $m \ge 4$  in Theorem 3.2 (shaded blocks are repeated  $q \ge 1$  times).

4

2 4

#### 3. The $\lambda$ -number of flowers (n = 1)

It is well known that the  $\lambda$ -number of any graph is at least one more than its maximum degree. So if *G* is a flower with  $p \ge 2$  petals, then its  $\lambda$ -number is between  $\Delta(G) + 1 = 2p + 1$  and the general upper bound 2p + 4 of Theorem 2.4. In this section we show that this lower bound is actually the exact  $\lambda$ -number of *G*.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let k and m be integers such that  $k \ge 1$  and  $m \ge 4$ . Then there exists (a 5-labeling, if k = 1) or (a(2k+1)-labeling, if <math>k > 1) of  $C_m$  which assigns label 0 to an arbitrary vertex and labels 2k and 2k + 1 to the vertices adjacent to it.

**Proof.** As in the proof of Lemma 2.3, the desired labelings are exhibited in Fig. 3.1.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $p \ge 2$  and  $3 \le m_1 \le m_2 \le \cdots \le m_p$  be integers. If  $G = F_1(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p)$ , then  $\lambda(G) = 2p + 1$ .

**Proof.** Let *w* be the only vertex in the stem of *G* and let s = 0 if  $m_1 > 3$  or let *s* be the largest positive integer such that  $m_s = 3$ . Set b = p - s. Let  $H_1$  (resp.,  $H_2$ ) be the subgraph of *G* induced by the vertices in petals 1, 2, ..., *s* (resp., s + 1, s + 2, ..., p). To show that  $\lambda(G) = \Delta(G) + 1 = 2p + 1$ , we will construct a (2p + 1)-labeling *f* of *G* using L(2, 1)-labelings of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively, for different combinations of values of *s* and *b*. For the sake of brevity, we will omit the formal verification that each *f* is indeed an (2p + 1)-labeling as the proofs are fairly straightforward.

If  $s \ge 2$ , then  $H_1$  is isomorphic to  $Amalg(K_1; K_3, K_3, \ldots, K_3)$  with s copies of  $K_3$  and from [1] we have  $\lambda(H_1) = 2s + 1$ (a particular case of Theorem 2.3 on p. 883 of [1]; note that this result requires  $s \ge 2$ ); let  $f_1$  be an arbitrary (2s + 1)-labeling of  $H_1$ . Note that we must have  $f_1(w) = 0$  and the 2s vertices adjacent to w are assigned the different labels 2, 3, ..., 2s + 1. Hence, if b = 0, then p = s and we can set  $f = f_1$ .

If  $b \ge 2$ , then  $H_2$  is isomorphic to  $Amalg(P_1; C_{m_{s+1}}, C_{m_{s+2}}, \ldots, C_{m_p})$ . Let  $f_2$  be the (2b + 1)-labeling of  $H_2$  using Lemma 3.1 to label each  $C_{m_{s+k}}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, b$  where the label 0 is assigned to w and labels 2k and 2k + 1 are assigned to the vertices adjacent to w. Hence, if s = 0, then p = b and we can set  $f = f_2$ .

If  $s \ge 2$  and  $b \ge 2$ , then set  $f(v) = f_1(v) + 2b$  if  $v \in H_1$  and  $v \ne w$ , and set  $f(v) = f_2(v)$  otherwise.

If s = 1 and  $b \ge 2$ , then set  $f(v) = f_2(v) + 1$  if  $v \in H_2$  and  $v \ne w$ , f(w) = 0, and if x, y are the two vertices in  $H_1$  (isomorphic to  $C_3$ ) adjacent to w, set f(x) = 2 and f(y) = 2p + 1.

If  $s \ge 2$  and b = 1, then set  $f(v) = f_1(v) + 1$  if  $v \in H_1$  and  $v \ne w$ , f(w) = 0, and if x, y are the two vertices in  $H_2$  (isomorphic to  $C_m$  for some  $m \ge 4$ ) adjacent to w, set f(x) = 2 and f(y) = 2p + 1. In the left-most table of Fig. 3.2, we exhibit the desired (2p + 1)-labelings of  $C_m$  extending the given partial labeling.

If s = 1 and b = 1, then set f(u) = 2 and f(v) = 5 where u, v are the two vertices in  $H_1$  (isomorphic to  $C_3$ ) adjacent to w, f(w) = 0, and if x, y are the two vertices in  $H_2$  (isomorphic to  $C_m$  for some  $m \ge 4$ ) adjacent to w, set f(x) = 3 and f(y) = 4. In the right-most table of Fig. 3.2, we exhibit the desired (2p + 1)-labelings of  $C_m$  extending the given partial labeling.  $\Box$ 

#### 4. The $\lambda$ -number of generalized flowers with n = 2

In this section, we relied on a simple backtracking computer program to find the  $\lambda$ -numbers of a finite family of graphs that are subgraphs of certain generalized flowers with n = 2. The same program was also used to construct L(2, 1)-labelings for individual petals of a given generalized flower with n = 2 that together provided an L(2, 1)-labeling for the entire graph. We will not present formal verifications of these facts as they are long and tedious case discussions but will exhibit the labelings we used so that the interested reader can check that they are indeed L(2, 1)-labelings. The next result improves the upper bound of Theorem 2.4 for the  $\lambda$ -numbers of generalized flowers with n = 2.

	q≥1		$P_2 \square C_{3q}$			$P_2 \square$	$C_{1+3q}$				$P_2 \square C_{2+}$	3 <i>q</i>	
<i>p</i> = 2:	petal 1	0 4	2 6	5 1	0 4	2 6	7 3	5 1	0 4	2 6	4 0	7 3	5 1
	petal 2	0 4	3 7	6 2	0 4	3 7	1 5	6 2	0 4	3 7	1 5	4 0	6 2
<i>p</i> = 3:	petal 1	0 8	2 4	5 1	0 8	2 4	8 6	5 1	0 8	2 4	7 0	3 6	5 1
	petal 2	0 8	3 5	6 2	0 8	3 5	1 7	6 2	0 8	3 5	1 7	4 0	6 2
	petal 3	0 8	4 6	7 3	0 8	4 6	2 0	7 3	0 8	4 6	8 0	1 5	7 3
$p \ge 4$ :	petal 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 2p+2 \end{array}$	p+1 2p	2p+1 p	0 $2p+2$	p+1 2p	$p+3 \\ 0$	2p+1 p	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 2p+2 \end{array}$	p+1 2p	<i>p</i> +3 0	1 p+2	2p+1 p
	petal $k$ $k = 2, 3, \dots, p$	0 2 <i>p</i> +2	k p+k-1	p+k k-1	0 $2p+2$	k p+k-1	<i>p</i> + <i>k</i> +2 <i>k</i> +1	p+k k-1	0 2p+2	k p+k-1	k+2 0	p+k+2 $k+1$	p+k k-1

**Fig. 4.1.** L(2, 1)-labelings of  $F_2(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_p)$  (the shaded block with the last, 0th, and 1st columns in each matrix is repeated  $q \ge 1$  times).

 $p = 2: \text{ petal 1} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 & 5 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 7 & 5 \\ 4 & 6 & 1 & 4 & 6 & 0 & 3 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$ 

**Fig. 4.2.** 7-labeling of  $P_2 \square C_8$ .



**Fig. 4.3.** Useful graphs with  $\lambda$ -number 7.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $p \ge 2$  and  $3 \le m_1 \le m_2 \le \cdots \le m_p$  be integers and let  $G = F_2(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_p)$ . Then  $\lambda(G) \le 7$  if p = 2, otherwise  $\lambda(G) \le 2p + 2$ .

**Proof.** The result follows from the L(2, 1)-labelings of *G* given in Fig. 4.1 in which each array of labels with 2 rows and i = 3, 4, or 5 columns is used for a petal isomorphic to  $P_2 \square C_m$  (0th column used for the stem) where the shaded block consisting of the last, 0th, 1st columns can be replaced with  $q \ge 1$  copies of itself arranged consecutively as needed to reach the desired value of *m*. For example, if p = 2 and m = 8, then m = 2 + 3q for q = 2 so the corresponding matrix in Fig. 4.1 for petal 1 produces the 7-labeling in Fig. 4.2.  $\square$ 

We will first focus on the case p = 2 as it offers more complexity.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $3 \le m_1 \le m_2$  be integers and let  $G = F_2(m_1, m_2)$ . If  $\{m_1, m_2\} \cap \{3, 6\} \ne \emptyset$  or  $(m_1, m_2) \in \{(4, 4), (4, 8)\}$ , then  $\lambda(G) = 7$ ; otherwise  $\lambda(G) = 6$ .

**Proof.** We used a computer program to verify that all the graphs in Fig. 4.3 have  $\lambda$ -number 7. (Note: Each of these graphs is minimal in the sense that any proper subgraph will have  $\lambda$ -number 6 or less.) If  $\{m_1, m_2\} \cap \{3, 6\} \neq \emptyset$  or  $(m_1, m_2) \in \{(4, 4), (4, 8)\}$ , then *G* contains  $H_i$  as a subgraph for some i = 1, 2, 3, 4 (white vertices in the stem). Hence  $\lambda(G) \geq 7$  and the equality follows from Lemma 4.1.

Suppose  $\{m_1, m_2\} \cap \{3, 6\} = \emptyset$  and  $(m_1, m_2) \notin \{(4, 4), (4, 8)\}$ . Since  $\lambda(G) \ge \Delta(G) + 1 = 6$ , it is sufficient to exhibit a 6-labeling of *G* to conclude that  $\lambda(G) = 6$ . If  $(m_1, m_2) = (8, 8)$ , then Fig. 4.4 contains a 6-labeling of *G*. If  $(m_1, m_2) \neq (8, 8)$ , then it is possible to choose one 6-labeling of  $P_2 \square C_{m_1}$  from one of the columns of the table in Appendix A, and another 6-labeling of  $P_2 \square C_{m_2}$  from the other column to obtain a 6-labeling of *G*.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $p \ge 2$  and  $3 \le m_1 \le m_2 \le \cdots \le m_p$  be integers and let  $G = F_2(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p)$ . If p = 2 and  $[\{m_1, m_2\} \cap \{3, 6\} \ne \emptyset$  or  $(m_1, m_2) \in \{(4, 4), (4, 8)\}]$ , then  $\lambda(G) = 7$ ; otherwise  $\lambda(G) = 2p + 2$ .



**Fig. 4.5.** Subgraph of  $G^*$  used to generate the table in Appendix A (shaded vertices and thick edges correspond to the 6-labeling in the second column of the table for m = 7).

**Proof.** The case p = 2 is proved in Lemma 4.2. If p > 2, then  $\lambda(G) \ge \Delta(G) + 1 = 2p + 2$ . But Lemma 4.1 implies  $\lambda(G) \le 2p + 2$ , and therefore the desired equality follows.  $\Box$ 

We will close this section offering an overview on how we obtained the table in Appendix A as the computer program alone was unable to derive an equivalent set of 6-labelings due to the large number of possibilities. Our goal was to construct 6-labelings of  $G = F_2(m_1, m_2)$  for certain values of  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  that had reasonably compact descriptions and were preferably extensions of the same partial labeling of the subgraph of G induced by the vertices on the stem and their neighbors. The two vertices on the stem have to be labeled with 0 and 6 as they are the only vertices of G achieving the maximum degree 5. The next step was to find labels to assign to the four neighbors of the vertices in the stem within a petal such that this partial labeling could be extended to the entire petal, regardless of the size of the petal. Note that the four vertices outside the stem and adjacent to the vertex on the stem labeled 0 (resp., 6) must be labeled 2, 3, 4, 5 (resp., 1, 2, 3, 4). Consider the auxiliary graph  $G^*$  with vertices (i, j) where  $i, j \in \{0, 1, ..., 6\}$  are at least two apart, and edges connect pairs of vertices (i, j)and (i', j') so that *i* and *i'* are at least two apart, *j* and *j'* are at least two apart,  $i \neq j'$ , and  $i' \neq j$ . Therefore, if (i, j) and (i', j') are adjacent in  $G^*$ , then the transpose of these two pairs could be used as two consecutive columns in an L(2, 1)-labeling of a petal of G. We would like to find closed paths (not necessarily simple) of order  $m_{\ell}$  in  $G^*$  for  $\ell = 1, 2$  both containing a vertex (0, 6) so that the vertices immediately before and after this vertex on each closed path have all different coordinates; hence the two L(2, 1)-labelings corresponding to these closed paths can be assigned to each petal of G, respectively, generating the desired 6-labeling. By inspection,  $G^*$  contains the subgraph in Fig. 4.5 and each 6-labeling in the table in Appendix A was obtained from a closed path in this subgraph. For example, the closed path consisting of the shaded vertices and thick edges corresponds to the 6-labeling on the second column of the table in Appendix A for m = 7.

#### 5. The $\lambda$ -number of generalized flowers with n = 3 and 4

We will first focus on the case n = 4 since most of the case n = 3 will follow directly from the former case. Even though each result has a structure analogous to the structure of its counterpart in the case n = 2, their proofs are in general more involved. The first result improves the upper bound of Theorem 2.4 for the  $\lambda$ -numbers of generalized flowers with n = 4.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $p \ge 2$  and  $3 \le m_1 \le m_2 \le \dots \le m_p$  be integers and let  $G = F_4(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p)$ . Then  $\lambda(G) \le 8$ , if p = 2, otherwise  $\lambda(G) \le 2p + 3$ .

**Proof.** If p = 2, Theorem 2.4 implies  $\lambda(G) \le 2p + 4 = 8$ . If p > 2, the result follows from the (2p + 3)-labelings of G given in Fig. 5.1 in which each array of labels with 4 rows and i = 3, 4, or 5 columns is used for a petal isomorphic to  $P_4 \square C_m$  (0th column used for the stem) where the block consisting of the last, 0th, 1st columns can be replaced with  $q \ge 1$  copies of itself arranged consecutively as needed to reach the desired value of m.  $\square$ 

The next result focuses on the case p = 2.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let  $3 \le m_1 \le m_2$  be integers and let  $G = F_4(m_1, m_2)$ . If  $(m_1, m_2) \in \{(3, 3), (3, 5), (3, 6), (4, 4), (4, 5)\}$ , then  $\lambda(G) = 8$ ; otherwise  $\lambda(G) = 7$ .

q	$q \ge 1$		$P_4 \square C_{3q}$			<i>P</i> <sub>4</sub> □	$C_{1+3q}$				$P_4 \square C_{2+3}$	q	
<i>p</i> = 3: p	oetal 1	5 0 9 4	7 2 5 0	1 6 3 7	5 0 9 4	7 2 5 0	9 4 8 2	1 6 3 7	5 0 9 4	7 2 5 0	0 4 7 2	3 8 0 5	1 6 3 7
р	oetal 2	5 0 9 4	8 3 6 2	2 7 1 8	5 0 9 4	8 3 6 2	0 9 4 0	2 7 1 8	5 0 9 4	8 3 6 2	4 1 8 0	0 5 3 6	2 7 1 8
p	petal 3	5 0 9 4	9 4 7 1	3 8 2 6	5 0 9 4	9 4 7 1	1 6 0 3	3 8 2 6	5 0 9 4	9 4 7 1	0 6 3 8	7 1 5 0	3 8 2 6
<i>p</i> ≥4: p	petal 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 2p+2 \\ 0 \\ 2p+3 \\ 1 \end{array} $	2p $p+1$ $2$ $p+3$	p $2p+1$ $p+2$ $3$	$ \begin{array}{c} 2p+2 \\ 0 \\ 2p+3 \\ 1 \end{array} $	2p $p+1$ $2$ $p+3$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\p+3\\4\\0\end{array}$	p $2p+1$ $p+2$ $3$	$ \begin{array}{c} 2p+2 \\ 0 \\ 2p+3 \\ 1 \end{array} $	2p $p+1$ $2$ $p+3$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\p+3\\4\\0\end{array}$	p+2 1 2p 5	p $2p+1$ $p+2$ $3$
p k	petal k k = 2, 3,, p	$ \begin{array}{c} 2p+2\\ 0\\ 2p+3\\ 1 \end{array} $	p+k-1 $k$ $p+k+1$ $k+2$	k-1 $p+k$ $k+1$ $p+k+2$	$ \begin{array}{c} 2p+2\\ 0\\ 2p+3\\ 1 \end{array} $	p+k-1 $k$ $p+k+1$ $k+2$	$ \begin{array}{r}     k+1 \\     p+k+2 \\     k+3 \\     0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} k-1\\ p+k\\ k+1\\ p+k+2 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 2p+2\\ 0\\ 2p+3\\ 1 \end{array} $	p+k-1 $k$ $p+k+1$ $k+2$ If k	0 $k+2$ $p+k+3$ $k$ $= p,  set be$	$ \begin{array}{c} k+1\\ p+k+2\\ k+3\\ 0\\ \end{array} $	k-1 $p+k$ $k+1$ $p+k+2$ el to 1

**Fig. 5.1.** (2p + 3)-labelings of  $F_4(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_p)$  with p > 2 (the block with the last, 0th, and 1st columns in each matrix is repeated  $q \ge 1$  times).



**Fig. 5.2.** Useful graphs with  $\lambda$ -number 8.

**Proof.** We used a computer program to verify that all the graphs in Fig. 5.2 have  $\lambda$ -number 8. (Note: Each of these graphs is minimal in the sense that any proper subgraph will have  $\lambda$ -number 7 or less.)

If  $(m_1, m_2) \in \{(3, 3), (3, 5), (3, 6), (4, 4), (4, 5)\}$ , then *G* contains an  $H_i$  for some i = 5, 6, ..., 9 as a subgraph (white vertices in the stem). Hence  $\lambda(G) \ge 8$  and the equality follows from Lemma 5.1.

Suppose  $(m_1, m_2) \notin \{(3, 3), (3, 5), (3, 6), (4, 4), (4, 5)\}$ . Since  $\lambda(G) \ge \Delta(G) + 1 = 7$ , it is sufficient to exhibit a 7-labeling of *G* to conclude that  $\lambda(G) = 7$ . If  $(m_1, m_2) = (5, 7)$ , then Fig. 5.3 contains a 7-labeling of *G*.

If  $(m_1, m_2) = (6, 6)$ , then Fig. 5.4 contains a 7-labeling of *G*.

If  $m_1 = 5$  and  $m_2 \neq 7$ , then label petal 1 with the 7-labeling in Fig. 5.5 and label petal 2 with the 7-labeling of  $P_4 \square C_{m_2}$  from the third column of the table in Appendix B to obtain a 7-labeling of *G*.

<i>F</i> <sub>4</sub> (5,7):	petal 1	4	1	5	0	2		petal 2	4	6	3	7	2	0	7
		0	6	3	7	5			0	2	5	1	4	6	3
		7	2	0	4	1			7	4	0	3	7	1	5
		3	5	7	2	6			3	1	7	5	2	4	0
				Fi	g. 5.3	<b>3.</b> 7-	labeli	ing of <i>F</i> <sub>4</sub> (5, 7	).						
<i>F</i> <sub>4</sub> (6,6):	petal 1	4	6	2	0	5	1	pet	al 2	4	7	1	4	0	2
		0	3	7	4	2	6			0	2	5	7	3	5
		7	5	1	6	0	3			7	4	0	2	6	1
		2	0	4	2	7	5			2	6	3	5	0	4

**Fig. 5.4.** 7-labeling of *F*<sub>4</sub>(6, 6).

$F_4(5, m_2)$ : petal 1	4	6	0	3	7
_	0	3	7	5	2
	7	5	2	0	4
	3	0	4	6	1

**Fig. 5.5.** 7-labeling for petal 1 of  $F_4(5, m_2)$ .

F <sub>3</sub> (3,6):	petal 1	0	2	5		petal 2	0	3	7	5	1	4
		7	4	1			7	5	0	3	6	2
		3	0	6			3	1	4	7	0	5
<i>F</i> <sub>3</sub> (4,4):	petal 1	1	3	7	5	petal 2	1	4	2	6		
		7	5	0	2		7	0	5	3		
		4	1	3	6		4	2	7	0		
<i>F</i> <sub>3</sub> (4,5):	petal 1	1	3	6	4	petal 2	1	5	7	2	6	
		7	5	0	2		7	3	1	4	0	
		4	1	3	6		4	0	5	7	2	

**Fig. 5.6.** 7-labelings of  $F_3(3, 6)$ ,  $F_3(4, 4)$ , and  $F_3(4, 5)$ .

Finally, if  $m_1 \neq 5$  and  $(m_1, m_2) \neq (6, 6)$ , then it is possible to choose one 7-labeling of  $P_4 \square C_{m_1}$  from one of the first two columns of the table in Appendix B, and another 7-labeling of  $P_4 \square C_{m_2}$  from the other column (also from the first two) to obtain a 7-labeling of *G*.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $p \ge 2$  and  $3 \le m_1 \le m_2 \le \cdots \le m_p$  be integers and let  $G = F_4(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p)$ . If p = 2 and  $(m_1, m_2) \in \{(3, 3), (3, 5), (3, 6), (4, 4), (4, 5)\}$ , then  $\lambda(G) = 8$ ; otherwise  $\lambda(G) = 2p + 3$ .

**Proof.** The case p = 2 is proved in Lemma 5.2. If p > 2, then  $\lambda(G) \ge \Delta(G) + 1 = 2p + 3$  and the equality follows from Lemma 5.1.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $p \ge 2$  and  $3 \le m_1 \le m_2 \le \cdots \le m_p$  be integers and let  $G = F_3(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p)$ . If p = 2 and  $(m_1, m_2) \in \{(3, 3), (3, 5)\}$ , then  $\lambda(G) = 8$ ; otherwise  $\lambda(G) = 2p + 3$ .

**Proof.** If p = 2 and  $(m_1, m_2) \in \{(3, 3), (3, 5)\}$ , then *G* contains  $H_5$  or  $H_6$  as a subgraph, hence  $\lambda(G) \ge 8$  and the equality follows from Theorem 2.4.

Suppose  $p \neq 2$  or  $(p = 2 \text{ and } (m_1, m_2) \notin \{(3, 3), (3, 5)\})$ . Since *G* is a subgraph of  $F_4(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_p)$ , then Theorem 5.3 implies that  $\lambda(G) \leq 2p + 3$  except when p = 2 and  $(m_1, m_2) \in \{(3, 6), (4, 4), (4, 5)\}$ , therefore equality holds since  $\lambda(G) \geq \Delta(G) + 1 = 2p + 3$ . Combining this last general lower bound and the 7-labelings of *G* in Fig. 5.6 for p = 2 and  $(m_1, m_2) \in \{(3, 6), (4, 4), (4, 5)\}$ , we also obtain  $\lambda(G) = 2p + 3$ .  $\Box$ 

#### 6. Concluding remarks

We completely characterized the  $\lambda$ -number of generalized flowers in Theorem 1.1 which summarizes the results in Corollary 2.6 and Theorems 4.3, 5.3, and 5.4. To determine a tight general upper bound for this number, we introduced the notion of extending a circular L(d + 1, 1)-labeling of a graph G to an L(d, 1)-labeling of  $P_n \square G$  without increasing the span of labels used. This approach unifies a series of seemingly disparate techniques found in the literature to determine upper

bounds for the  $\lambda$ -number of  $P_n \square G$  for different families of graphs G. Similar approaches may be useful in investigating the  $\lambda$ -number of the Cartesian product of other families of graphs.

In closing, we would like to remark that the  $\lambda$ -numbers of amalgamations of rectangular grids along a path determined in [1] could be derived from the results in this manuscript as these amalgamations are subgraphs of the amalgamations of cylindrical rectangular grids along a path studied here.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Berit Johnson for attending the brainstorming sessions and for creating a software tool to ensure the correctness of the labelings presented throughout the manuscript. The authors are also in debt to the referees for their helpful comments and suggestions.

#### **Appendix A**

6-labelings of  $P_2 \square C_m$  for the two petals of  $F_2(m_1, m_2)$  when  $\{m_1, m_2\} \cap \{3, 6\} = \emptyset$  and  $(m_1, m_2) \notin \{(4, 4), (4, 8), (8, 8)\}$  used in Lemma 4.2 (the two 6-labelings must belong to different columns).

	Exte	nsio	n of							I	Exte	nsio	n of										
	0	2	•••	4							0	3	•••	5									
Cycle order	6	4	•••	2							6	1	•••	3									
m = 3																							
m = 4	0	2	6	4																			
	6	4	0	2																			
_																							
m = 5	0	2	6	1	4						0	3	6	2	5								
	6	4	0	5	2						6	1	4	0	3								
(										_													
m = 6																							
m – 7	0	-	5	0	2	6	4			-	0	•	6	0	4	2	-						_
m - 7	0	2	3	2	2	0	4				0	3	0	0	4	2	5 7						
	0	4	1	3	3	0	2				0	I	4	2	0	0	3						
m = 8	0	2	6	4	0	2	6	4															
0	6	4	0	2	6	4	0	2															
		Note	: two	- exte	ensic	ons o	ford	ler 4															
m = 9	0	2	6	4	0	2	6	1	4	T	0	3	6	2	4	0	6	2	5				
	6	4	0	2	6	4	0	5	2		6	1	4	0	6	2	4	0	3				
		Note	: ext	ensio	ons o	for	der 4	and	5														
m = 10	0	2	6	1	4	0	2	6	1	4	0	3	6	2	5	0	3	6	2	5			
	6	4	0	5	2	6	4	0	5	2	6	1	4	0	3	6	1	4	0	3			
		Note	: two	o exte	ensic	ons o	f orc	ler 5			l	Note	: two	o exte	ensic	ons o	f orc	ler 5					
m = 11		For <i>n</i>	n ≥ 1	11:							0	3	6	0	4	2	6	0	4	2	5		
		I	Exte	nsior	1 of c	order	4 ar	nd			6	1	4	2	6	0	4	2	6	0	3		
		e	exter	ision	ofo	rder	<i>m</i> –	4															
m = 12											0	3	6	2	5	0	3	6	0	4	2	5	
											6	1	4	0	3	6	1	4	2	6	0	3	
											]	Note	: ext	ensic	ons c	ofor	der 5	and	7				
m = 13											0	3	6	2	4	0	5	2	0	3	6	2	5
											6	1	4	0	6	3	1	4	6	1	4	0	3
										_		7		4.									
m = 14											J	or <i>i</i>	n ≥ 1	.4:			. <del>.</del> .	. 1					
													Exte	nsior	ofo	order	· 5 ar	nd					
													exter	ision	01 C	order	<i>m</i> –	- 3					

### Appendix B

7-labelings of  $P_4 \square C_m$  for the petals of  $F_4(m_1, m_2)$  when  $(m_1, m_2) \notin \{(3, 3), (3, 5), (3, 6), (4, 4), (4, 5), (5, 7), (6, 6)\}$  used in Lemma 5.2.

Cycle order	Extension of 4 2 7 0 6 3 7 4 1 3 0 5	Extension of 4 6 1 0 2 5 7 5 2 3 1 6	Extension of 4 1 2 0 6 5 7 2 1 3 5 6
<i>m</i> = 3	4 2 7 0 6 3 7 4 1 3 0 5		
<i>m</i> = 4		4       6       3       1         0       2       7       5         7       5       0       2         3       1       4       6	
<i>m</i> = 5			4       1       5       0       2         0       6       3       7       5         7       2       0       4       1         3       5       7       2       6
<i>m</i> = 6	4       2       7       4       2       7         0       6       3       0       6       3         7       4       1       7       4       1         3       0       5       3       0       5         Note: two extensions of order 3       3       3       3       3		4       1       7       4       0       2         0       6       3       1       7       5         7       2       0       5       3       1         3       5       7       2       0       6
<i>m</i> = 7	4       2       5       1       4       2       7         0       6       3       7       0       6       3         7       4       1       5       2       4       1         3       0       7       3       6       0       5	4       6       3       5       0       3       1         0       2       7       1       4       7       5         7       5       0       3       6       0       2         3       1       4       7       1       4       6	
<i>m</i> = 8	4       2       5       0       6       3       0       7         0       6       3       7       4       1       6       3         7       4       1       5       2       7       4       1         3       0       7       3       6       0       2       5	4       6       3       1       4       6       3       1         0       2       7       5       0       2       7       5         7       5       0       2       7       5       0       2         3       1       4       6       3       1       4       6         Note: two extensions of order 4       4       4       4       4       5       1       4       6	4       1       5       0       4       6       0       2         0       6       3       7       1       3       7       5         7       2       0       4       6       0       4       1         3       5       7       1       3       5       2       6
<i>m</i> = 9	For $m \ge 9$ : Extension of order 3 and extension of order $m - 3$	4       6       0       2       6       4       2       6       1         0       2       7       5       3       0       7       3       5         7       5       3       0       7       2       5       0       2         3       1       6       2       4       6       1       4       6	4       1       7       3       0       6       3       0       2         0       6       4       1       7       4       1       7       5         7       2       0       6       3       0       5       3       1         3       5       7       2       5       7       2       0       6
<i>m</i> = 10		4       6       3       0       5       2       0       6       3       1         0       2       7       4       1       6       4       2       7       5         7       5       0       6       3       0       7       5       0       2         3       1       4       2       7       5       3       1       4       6	4       1       5       0       2       4       1       5       0       2         0       6       3       7       5       0       6       3       7       5         7       2       0       4       1       7       2       0       4       1         3       5       7       2       6       3       5       7       2       6         Note: two extensions of order 5       0       0       4       1       0       0       0
<i>m</i> = 11		For $m \ge 11$ : Extension of order 4 and extension of order $m - 4$	4       1       5       0       2       4       1       7       4       0       2         0       6       3       7       5       0       6       3       1       7       5         7       2       0       4       1       7       2       0       5       3       1         3       5       7       2       6       3       5       7       2       0       6         Note: extensions of order 5 and 6       5       7       6       6       6       6
<i>m</i> = 12			4         1         7         4         0         2         4         1         7         4         0         2           0         6         3         1         7         5         0         6         3         1         7         5         7         5         7         5         3         1         7         2         0         5         3         1         3         5         7         2         0         6         3         5         7         2         0         6         3         5         7         2         0         6         3         5         7         2         0         6         3         5         7         2         0         6         3         5         7         2         0         6         3         5         7         2         0         6         3         5         7         2         0         6         3         5         7         2         0         6         3         5         7         2         0         6         3         5         7         0         6         3         5         7         2         0
<i>m</i> = 13			For $m \ge 13$ : Extension of order 5 and extension of order $m - 5$

#### References

- [1] S.S. Adams, N. Howell, N. Karst, D.S. Troxell, J. Zhu, On the L(2, 1)-labelings of amalgamations of graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 161 (2013) 881–888.
- [2] T. Calamoneri, The L(h, k)-labelling problem: an updated survey and annotated bibliography, Comput. J. 54 (2011) 1344–1371.
- [3] T. Calamoneri, Optimal  $L(\delta_1, \delta_2, 1)$ -labeling of eight-regular grids, Inform. Process. Lett. 113 (2013) 361–364.
- [4] C. Charpentier, M. Montassier, A. Raspaud, L(p, q)-labeling of sparse graphs, J. Comb. Optim. 25 (2013) 646-660.
- [5] C. Franks, The delta square conjecture holds for graphs of small order, Involve (2014) in press.
- [6] M.R. Garey, D.S. Johnson, Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness, WH Freeman, 1979.
- [7] J.P. Georges, D.W. Mauro, M.I. Stein, Labeling products of complete graphs with a condition at distance two, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 14 (2000) 28–35.
- [8] J.P. Georges, D.W. Mauro, M.A. Whittlesey, Relating path coverings to vertex labelings with a condition at distance two, Discrete Math. 135 (1994) 103-111
- [9] D. Gonçalves, On the L(p, 1)-labelling of graphs, Discrete Math. 308 (2008) 1405–1414.
- [10] J.R. Griggs, R.K. Yeh, Labeling graphs with a condition at distance two, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 5 (1992) 585-595.
- [11] W.K.Hale, Frequency assignment: theory and applications, Proc. IEEE 68 (1980) 1497–1514.
  [12] F. Havet, B. Reed, J.-S. Sereni, Griggs and Yeh's conjecture and L(p, 1)-labelings, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 26 (2012) 145–168.
- [13] J. Heuvel, R.A. Leese, M.A. Shepherd, Graph labeling and radio channel assignment, J. Graph Theory 29 (1998) 263-283.
- [14] P.K. Jha, A. Narayanan, P. Sood, K. Sundaram, V. Sunder, On L(2, 1)-labelings of the Cartesian product of a cycle and a path, Ars Combin. 55 (2000).
- [15] N. Karst, J. Oehrlein, D.S. Troxell, J. Zhu, Labeling amalgamations of Cartesian products of complete graphs with a condition at distance two, Discrete Appl. Math. 178 (2014) 101-108.
- [16] N. Karst, J. Oehrlein, D.S. Troxell, J. Zhu, L(d, 1)-labelings of the edge-path-replacement by factorization of graphs, J. Comb. Optim. (2014) in press.
- [17] S. Klavžar, A. Vesel, Computing graph invariants on rotagraphs using dynamic algorithm approach: the case of (2, 1)-colorings and independence numbers, Discrete Appl, Math, 129 (2003) 449-460.
- [18] D. Kuo, J. Yan, On L(2, 1)-labelings of Cartesian products of paths and cycles, Discrete Math. 283 (2004) 137–144.
- [19] R.A. Leese, S.D. Noble, Cyclic labellings with constraints at two distances, Electron. J. Combin. 11 (2004) R16.
- [20] X. Li, S. Zhou, Labeling outerplanar graphs with maximum degree three, Discrete Appl. Math. 161 (2013) 200-211.
- [21] W. Lin, B. Dai, On (s, t)-relaxed L(2, 1)-labelings of the triangular lattice, J. Comb. Optim. (2014) in press,
- [22] D. Liu, X. Zhu, Circulant distant two labeling and circular chromatic number, Ars Combin. 69 (2003) 177-183.
- [23] C. Lu, Q. Zhou, Path covering number and L(2, 1)-labeling number of graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 161 (2013) 2062–2074.
- [24] C. Schwarz, D.S. Troxell, L(2, 1)-labelings of the Cartesian products of two cycles, Discrete Appl. Math. 154 (2006) 1522–1540.
- [25] Z. Shao, R. Solis-Oba, L(2, 1)-labelings on the modular product of two graphs, Inform. Process. Lett. 487 (2013) 74–81.
- [26] M.A. Whittlesev, I.P. Georges, D.W. Mauro, On the  $\lambda$ -number of  $O_{\pi}$  and related graphs, SIAM I. Discrete Math. 8 (1995) 499–506.
- [27] K.-F. Wu, R.K. Yeh, Labeling graphs with the circular difference, Taiwanese J. Math. 4 (2000) 397–405.
- [28] R.K. Yeh, A survey on labeling graphs with a condition at distance two, Discrete Math. 306 (2006) 1217–1231.
- [29] X.L. Zhang, J.G. Qian, L(p, q)-labeling and integer tension of a graph embedded on torus, J. Comb. Optim. (2014) in press.